



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/567,474

02/03/2006

Andreas Michl

01012-1038

9387

30671 7590 07/28/2009  
DITTHAVONG MORI & STEINER, P.C.  
918 Prince St.  
Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER

LEE, JAE YOUNG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2419

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/28/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/567,474	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MICHL, ANDREAS	
	<b>Examiner</b> JAE Y. LEE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2419	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JAE Y. LEE. (3) Anita Pellman Gross (Req.No. 63,325).

(2) Daniel J. Ryman. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 4.

Identification of prior art discussed: Leftwich (US 6,356,256), Hilliker (US 2002/0100422).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant argued that Leftwich and Hillker did not disclose displaying a "sequence of messages" dependent upon the selection of marking and it was persuasive. The examiner will re-consider it for further prosecution.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jae Y Lee/ Examiner, Art Unit 2419	/Daniel J. Ryman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2419
--	---